Add to Generator Step Up Transformer, Apex GS RFP, Section IV, article 29.

(29) Transformer Losses

(i) Guaranteed Losses: The proposed guaranteed losses (load and no-load) will be used as a part of the evaluation criteria. Losses shall be submitted with a not to exceed number with a penalty cost of \$5,000 per kW for each kW in excess of that number. Guaranteed losses shall be quoted at its MVA base rating, unity power factor, nominal voltage tap, and 65C winding temperature. No-load loss tests shall be repeated after impulse tests and these values shall be used for the guaranteed losses. The no-load loss values shall not increase more than 5% from the initial no load loss measurements. Any transformer which, upon factory test, is found to have losses greater than the guaranteed losses stated shall have a portion of it selling price retuned to SCPPA. The price return shall be the difference between the guaranteed losses and the actual losses, as determined by the tests, multiplied by the above dollar amount.

F

Benificially Exceeds - The proposal is responsive beyond the minimum requirements of the RFP with no significant weaknesses (and only a few, if any, minor weaknesses) noted. Fulfilling the
8 - 10= definition of Beneficially Exceeds indicated that, in terms of the specific factor, the proposal demonstrates a level-of-effort beyond that required by the RFP, and that this effort has, or could produce, results that should prove to be substantially beneficial to the LADWP.
4 - 7= Acceptable - The proposal is adequately responsive with no major weaknesses noted. A rating of Acceptable indicated no exceptional features or innovations that could prove to be beneficial and/or only minor weaknesses to diminish the quality of the offeror's performance.
2 - 3= Marginal - The proposal fails to meet the evaluation standards; however, any significant deficiencies are considered correctable. A rating of Marginal indicates that corrective actions by the offeror which would improve the rating are attainable.

Unacceptable - The proposal is not adequately responsive or does not address the specific factor. The offeror's interpretation of the LADWP's requirements is so superficial, incomplete, vague, not 0 - 1 = comprehensive, or incorrect as to be unsatisfactory. A rating of Unacceptable indicates that mandatory corrective action would be required to prevent significant weaknesses from affecting the overall contract effort. In essence a complete rewrite of that portion of the offeror's proposal that is unacceptable would be required.

Category	Scoring Weight	Maximum Possible Score	REVIEWER RATING [*] (SCORE BETWEEN 0 to 10)	Weighted Score		
----------	----------------	------------------------------	---	----------------	--	--

1. Pass/Fail Criteria

,	A.	Completed Contractor Safety Form	PASS/FAIL		
	В.	Completed Iran Contracting Act form	PASS/FAIL		

2. Proposer's Qualifications and Experience

Α.	Relevant Experience of Prime Contractor and Subcontractors.	5%	10	
В.	Specific experience of Prime Contractor	2.5%	10	
C.	Specific experience of Subcontractors	2.5%	10	
D.	Specific experience of Quality Control Personnel	2.5%	10	

3. Technical Approach

A.

4 Exceptions

|--|

5. Final Negotiated Cost

	Price as quoted in the proposal shall be evaluated as a baseline for				
	determining which respondants to enter into negotiations with. Negotiations	50%	10		
А.	are expected to include Proposal Cost, Delivery Dates, Detailed Contract	50%			
	Terms & Conditions and Warranty Coverage.				

Reviewer:

Reviewer Comments